Title: BRCA1- and BRCA2-Associated Hereditary Breast and Ovarian Cancer GeneReview – Probability Models for BRCA1/BRCA2 Pathogenic Variants

Authors: Petrucelli N, Daly MB, Pal T

Updated: December 2016

Note: The following information is provided by the authors and has not been reviewed

by GeneReviews staff.

Probability Models for BRCA1/2 Pathogenic Variants

Each has its unique attributes determined by the methods, sample size, and population used to create it. These models include those using logistic regression, genetic risk models using Bayesian analysis (BRCAPRO and Breast and Ovarian Analysis of Disease Incidence and Carrier Estimation Algorithm [BOADICEA]), as well as empiric data such as the Myriad prevalence tables.

The validity of several of the models has been compared in different studies, and the data show that these models perform reasonably well in typical breast-ovarian cancer families seen in cancer genetics clinics [Antoniou et al 2008]. Most models do not include other *BRCA*-related cancers (e.g., pancreatic cancer, prostate cancer). Interventions that decrease the likelihood that an individual will develop cancer (such as oophorectomy and mastectomy) may influence the ability to estimate the probability of a *BRCA1/2* pathogenic variant [Katki 2007]. Furthermore, one study has shown that the models are sensitive to the amount of family history information available and do not perform as well with a limited family structure, defined as having fewer than two first- or second-degree female relatives surviving beyond the age of 45 years in either lineage [Weitzel et al 2007].

The performance of the models can vary in specific ethnic groups as well [Oros et al 2006, Vogel et al 2007, Kurian et al 2008, Kurian et al 2009] suggesting that further information is needed to determine which model performs best in each ethnic group. More recently, the addition of breast tumor markers including estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR), and human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2/neu) has been shown to improve the performance of BRCAPRO and BOADICEA [Tai et al 2008, Mavaddat et al 2010, Biswas et al 2012].

As more individuals have undergone molecular genetic testing of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*, risk assessment models have improved. Nevertheless, there is an art to risk assessment and thus, probability models cannot replace clinical judgment. Also, it is important to note that there are factors that could limit the ability to provide an accurate risk assessment (i.e., small family size, few female relatives, and/or risk reducing surgeries).

Table. Characteristics of Common Models for Estimating the Likelihood of a BRCA1/BRCA2 Pathogenic Variant

Tables 1	BRCAPRO ²	BOADICEA ³	Tyrer-Cuzick ⁴
Empiric data from Myriad Genetics based on personal and family history reported on requisition forms	Statistical model, assumes autosomal dominant inheritance	Statistical model, assumes polygenic risk	Statistical model, assumes autosomal dominant inheritance
Proband may or may not have breast or ovarian cancer	Proband may or may not have breast or ovarian cancer	Proband may or may not have breast or ovarian cancer	Proband must be unaffected
Considers age of breast cancer diagnosis as <50 y or >50 y	Considers exact age at breast and ovarian cancer diagnosis	Considers exact age at breast and ovarian cancer diagnosis	Also includes reproductive factors and body mass index to estimate breast cancer risk
Considers breast cancer in ≥1 affected relatives only if diagnosed <50 y Considers ovarian cancer in ≥1 relative at any age Includes AJ ancestry Very easy to use	Considers prior genetic testing in family (e.g., BRCA1/2 - negative testing)	Includes all FDR and SDR with and without cancer	
	Considers oophorectomy status	Includes AJ ancestry	
	Includes all FDR and SDR with and without cancer		
	Includes AJ ancestry		
Simplified/limited consideration of family structure	Requires computer software and time- consuming data entry	Requires computer software and time-consuming data entry	Designed for individuals unaffected with breast cancer
Early age of breast cancer onset	Incorporates only FDR and SDR; may need to change proband to best capture risk and to account for disease in the paternal lineage May overestimate risk in bilateral breast cancer ⁵ May perform better in whites than minority populations ⁶	Incorporates only FDR and SDR; may need to change proband to best capture risk	
	Myriad Genetics based on personal and family history reported on requisition forms Proband may or may not have breast or ovarian cancer Considers age of breast cancer diagnosis as <50 y or >50 y Considers breast cancer in ≥1 affected relatives only if diagnosed <50 y Considers ovarian cancer in ≥1 relative at any age Includes AJ ancestry Very easy to use Simplified/limited consideration of family structure Early age of breast	Myriad Genetics based on personal and family history reported on requisition forms Proband may or may not have breast or ovarian cancer Considers age of breast cancer in ≥1 affected relatives only if diagnosed <50 y Considers ovarian cancer in ≥1 relative at any age Includes AJ ancestry Very easy to use Early age of breast cancer onset Early age of breast cancer onset Early age of breast cancer onset Myriad Genetics assumes autosomal dominant inheritance Proband may or may not have breast or ovarian cancer Considers exact age at breast and ovarian cancer diagnosis Considers prior genetic testing in family (e.g., BRCA1/2 - negative testing) Considers ovarian cancer in ≥1 relative at any age Includes all FDR and SDR with and without cancer Includes AJ ancestry Requires computer software and time-consuming data entry Incorporates only FDR and SDR; may need to change proband to best capture risk and to account for disease in the paternal lineage May overestimate risk in bilateral breast cancer 5 May perform better in whites	Myriad Genetics based on personal and family history reported on requisition forms Proband may or may not have breast or ovarian cancer Considers age of breast cancer in ≥1 affected relatives only if diagnosed <50 y Considers ovarian cancer in ≥1 relative at any age Includes AJ ancestry Very easy to use Early age of breast cancer onset May yerform better in whites than minority populations ⁶ May Myriad Genetics age as assumes autosomal dominant inheritance Statistical model, assumes polygenic risk Tassumes polygenic risk Proband may or may not have breast or ovarian cancer Considers exact age at breast and ovarian cancer diagnosis Considers exact age at breast and ovarian cancer diagnosis Considers prior genetic testing in family (e.g., BRCA1/2 - negative testing) Considers ovarian cancer Considers exact age at breast and ovarian cancer Includes All FDR and SDR with and without cancer Includes All FDR and SDR with and without cancer Requires computer software and time-consuming data entry Incorporates only FDR and SDR; may need to change proband to best capture risk and to account for disease in the paternal lineage May overestimate risk in bilateral breast cancer ⁵ May perform better in whites than minority populations ⁶ May May

of BRCA2
pathogenic variant
in high-grade
serous ovarian
cancers but
overestimate the
risk for other
histologies
(REFERENCE)

From National Cancer Institute Genetics of Breast and Ovarian Cancer (PDQ®)

BOADICEA = breast and ovarian analysis of disease incidence and carrier estimation algorithm

FDR = first-degree relatives

SDR = second-degree relatives

AJ = Ashkenazi Jewish

Y = years

- 1. Frank et al [1998]
- 2. Parmigiani et al [1998], Katki [2007]
- 3. Parmigiani et al [1998], Antoniou et al [2004]
- 4. Tyrer et al [2004]
- 5. Ready et al [2009]
- 6. Huo et al [2009], Kurian et al [2009]

References

Antoniou AC, Hardy R, Walker L, Evans DG, Shenton A, Eeles R, Shanley S, Pichert G, Izatt L, Rose S, Douglas F, Eccles D, Morrison PJ, Scott J, Zimmern RL, Easton DF, Pharoah PD. Predicting the likelihood of carrying a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation: validation of BOADICEA, BRCAPRO, IBIS, Myriad and the Manchester scoring system using data from UK genetics clinics. J Med Genet. 2008;45:425-31.

Antoniou AC, Pharoah PP, Smith P, Easton DF. The BOADICEA model of genetic susceptibility to breast and ovarian cancer. Br J Cancer 2004:91:1580-90.

Biswas S, Tankhiwale N, Blackford A, Barrera AM, Ready K, Lu K, Amos CI, Parmigiani G, Arun B. Assessing the added value of breast tumor markers in genetic risk prediction model BRCAPRO. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2012;133:347-55.

Frank TS, Manley SA, Olopade OI, Cummings S, Garber JE, Bernhardt B, Antman K, Russo D, Wood ME, Mullineau L, Isaacs C, Peshkin B, Buys S, Venne V, Rowley PT, Loader S, Offit K, Robson M, Hampel H, Brener D, Winer EP, Clark S, Weber B, Strong LC, Thomas A. Sequence analysis of BRCA1 and BRCA2: correlation of mutations with family history and ovarian cancer risk. J Clin Oncol. 1998;16:2417-25.

Huo D, Senie RT, Daly M, Buys SS, Cummings S, Ogutha J, Hope K, Olopade OI. Prediction of BRCA Mutations Using the BRCAPRO Model in Clinic-Based African American, Hispanic, and Other Minority Families in the United States. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27:1184-90.

Katki HA. Incorporating medical interventions into carrier probability estimation for genetic counseling. BMC Med Genet. 2007;8:13.

Kurian AW, Gong GD, Chun NM, Mills MA, Staton AD, Kingham KE, Crawford BB, Lee R, Chan S, Donlon SS, Ridge Y, Panabaker K, West DW, Whittemore AS, Ford JM. Performance of BRCA1/2 mutation prediction models in Asian Americans. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26:4752-8.

Kurian AW, Gong GD, John EM, Miron A, Felberg A, Phipps AI, West DW, Whittemore AS. Performance of prediction models for BRCA mutation carriage in three racial/ethnic groups: findings from the Northern California Breast Cancer Family Registry. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2009;18:1084-91.

Mavaddat N, Rebbeck TR, Lakhani SR, Easton DF, Antoniou AC. Incorporating tumour pathology information into breast cancer risk prediction algorithms. Breast Cancer Res. 2010;12:R28.

Oros KK, Ghadirian P, Maugard CM, Perret C, Paredes Y, Mes-Masson AM, Foulkes WD, Provencher D, Tonin PN. Application of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carrier prediction models in breast and/or ovarian cancer families of French Canadian descent. Clin Genet. 2006;70:320-9.

Parmigiani G, Berry D, Aguilar O. Determining carrier probabilities for breast cancer-susceptibility genes BRCA1 and BRCA2. Am J Hum Genet 1998;62:145-58.

Ready KJ, Vogel KJ, Atchley DP, Broglio KR, Solomon KK, Amos C, Lu KH, Hortobagyi GN, Arun B. Accuracy of the BRCAPRO model among women with bilateral breast cancer. Cancer. 2009;115:725-30.

Tai YC, Chen S, Parmigiani G, Klein AP. Incorporating tumor immunohistochemical markers in BRCA1 and BRCA2 carrier prediction. Breast Cancer Res. 2008;10:401.

Tyrer J, Duffy SW, Cuzick J. A breast cancer prediction model incorporating familial and personal risk factors. Stat Med 2004;23:1111-30

Vogel KJ, Atchley DP, Erlichman J, Broglio KR, Ready KJ, Valero V, Amos CI, Hortobagyi GN, Lu KH, Arun B. BRCA1 and BRCA2 genetic testing in Hispanic patients: mutation prevalence and evaluation of the BRCAPRO risk assessment model. J Clin Oncol. 2007;25:4635-41.

Weitzel JN, Lagos VI, Cullinane CA, Gambol PJ, Culver JO, Blazer KR, Palomares MR, Lowstuter KJ, MacDonald DJ. Limited family structure and BRCA gene mutation status in single cases of breast cancer. JAMA. 2007;297:2587-95.